

STEVEN W. WEBB
ATTORNEY AT LAW
655 SECOND STREET
ENCINITAS, CA 92024
(760)635-7530

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 30 2004

OFFICIAL

FAX COVER SHEET

TO:

NAME GENTLE E. WINTER, EXAMINER

COMPANY UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PHONE (703)872-9310

FROM:

NAME STEVEN W. WEBB

PAGES (INCLUDING COVER) 3

DATE JANUARY 30, 2004

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, CALL (760)635-7530

COMMENTS:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICERECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In re application of:
Gregg Motsenbocker
Appl. No: 09/678,619
Filed: October 2, 2000
For: Compositions and Methods for
Releasing Adherent Deposits from
surfaces and Substrates

Examiner: Gentle E. Winter
Group Art Unit: 1746

Date: January 28, 2004

JAN 30 2004
OFFICIALCertificate of FAX Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being FAXed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Art Group 1746 on
January 30, 2004

Signed.


Steven W. Webb

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

Interview Summary

This is a summary of a telephonic interview conducted on or about August 15, 2003, between applicant's attorney, Steven W. Webb, and the examiner, Gentle E. Winter.

No demonstration was provided during the interview. All claims under consideration in the current application were discussed.

Applicant's attorney conveyed to examiner that applicant was convinced that examiner did not appreciate the distinctions between applicant's invention and the prior art in the cleaner field. In particular, the distinctions between the light hydrotreated petroleum distillate selected for applicant's invention and kerosene, used in the state of the art cleaner patents and in applicant's prior invention. Applicant's attorney also conveyed to examiner that the performance characteristics of the present

invention were unanticipated by the prior art and by the applicant, and were therefore unobvious to a practitioner schooled in the art.

Examiner suggested that applicant provide a declaration under Rule 131 or 132 to further elaborate the new science encountered by applicant, and that this might illustrate how the present invention defeats the 102(b) and 103 rejections raised by the examiner in the last office action. Applicant's attorney agreed to provide such a declaration with the response to the Office Action dated July 17, 2003. If the Examiner has any questions concerning this summary, please direct any inquiries to Steven Webb at (760)635-7530, Fax (760)635-7531.

Respectfully submitted,
Law Offices of Steven W. Webb



Steven W. Webb
Reg. No. 43,246

Law Offices of Steven W. Webb
655 Second Street
Encinitas, CA 92024